

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
09/941,212	08/27/2001	Paul F. Turnbull	10013714-1 5702	
7590 03/23/2006			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			PHILLIPS, HASSAN A	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2151		

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			SP
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summany	09/941,212	TURNBULL, PAU	L F.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAIL INC DATE of this communication are	Hassan Phillips	2151	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Description</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
A) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original original contents are considered to by the Examiner of the contents are considered to by the Examiner of the contents are considered to by the Examiner of the contents o	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	: 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te	D-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/941,212 Page 2

Art Unit: 2151

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to communications filed December 28, 2005.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed December 28, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argued that:
 - a) The office has failed to establish a motivation sufficient for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Mattingly with the teachings of the 413' reference.
 - b) Hunt does not show and the Office has not provided a reference that shows applets configured to search a predesignated location for a firmware upgrade, applets configured to send an email notification regarding an available firmware upgrade, or applets configured to install the available firmware upgrade in the nonvolatile memory were well known in the art at the time of the present invention.
 - c) The office has not shown that any reference teaches or suggests "an email recipient configured to reply to the email notification, the reply comprising a user-designated time at which the upgrade applet will install the firmware upgrade onto the computing device".
 - d) Sugiarto does not mention an "email notification" that includes "a selectable option." Instead, Sugiarto discuses that a *list* of content files

Art Unit: 2151

are <u>downloaded</u> and that the user <u>selects a content file</u> for purchase and <u>one of the available pricing options</u>.

Page 3

- e) Hunt does not show and the Office has not provided a reference that shows configuring an applet with a predesignated location or configuring an applet with an email address for receiving an email notification.
- f) Sugiarto does not mention an "email notification" that includes information such as "the current version of the firmware," " the location of the available firmware upgrade," "cost associated with the available firmware upgrade," and "billing data required to complete an electronic commerce transaction to purchase the available firmware upgrade."

Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicants assertions.

3. Regarding item a), in response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Mattingly readily recognizes the need for improved systems and methods for automatically determining if firmware in a peripheral device requires an upgrade, (Mattingly, page 2, lines 21-27). In the teachings of Mattingly, an evaluation process

Art Unit: 2151

first takes place to determine whether or not firmware in a base station's memory (280) is outdated by a current version of firmware stored in memory (260). The 413' reference teaches a method for searching for new documents and notifying a user by email if the documents are updated later, (413' abstract). Thus, Examiner maintains that given the teachings of the 413' reference, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Mattingly to show sending an email notification to one or more pre-designated email addresses if the firmware upgrade is found. This would have advantageously provided an efficient means for notifying users of the peripheral devices on a network (180,190) when firmware upgrades were available for the peripheral devices, (413' abstract, and Mattingly, page 2, lines 21-27).

4. Regarding item b), Examiner acknowledges Hunt does not show applets configured to search a predesignated location for a firmware upgrade, applets configured to send an email notification regarding an available firmware upgrade, or applets configured to install the available firmware upgrade in the nonvolatile memory. However, Examiner maintains applets were well known in the art at the time of the present invention. In particular, applets are mini programs that can be downloaded and used by a computer equipped with a Java-capable browser. Hunt teaches applets configured for determining device data, (col. 7, lines 13-42). Thus, Examiner maintains that the combination of what was well known in the art at the time of the present invention, the teachings of Hunt, and the teachings of Mattingly, would have been obvious to combine to one of ordinary skill in the art to teach applets to search a

Art Unit: 2151

predesignated location for a firmware upgrade, applets configured to send an email notification regarding an available firmware upgrade, or applets configured to install the available firmware upgrade in the nonvolatile memory.

- 5. Regarding item c), Examiner acknowledges Hunt does not show "an email recipient configured to reply to the email notification, the reply comprising a userdesignated time at which the upgrade applet will install the firmware upgrade onto the computing device". Examiner submits however, an email recipient configured to reply to an email notification is implicit in the teachings of the 413' reference, (see abstract and Figures). Furthermore, as previously mentioned, Examiner maintains applets were well known in the art at the time of the present invention. In particular, applets are mini programs that can be downloaded and used by a computer equipped with a Javacapable browser. Hunt teaches applets configured for determining device data, (col. 7, lines 13-42). Thus, Examiner maintains that the combination of what was well known in the art at the time of the present invention, the teachings of Hunt, the teachings of Mattingly, and the teachings of the 413' reference would have been obvious to combine to one of ordinary skill in the art to teach an email recipient configured to reply to the email notification, the reply comprising a user-designated time at which the upgrade applet will install the firmware upgrade onto the computing device.
- 6. Regarding item d), Examiner submits in giving the claims their broadest reasonable interpretation, the "content files" and "pricing options" taught by Sugiarto

Page 5

Art Unit: 2151

have been interpreted to be the selectable options in the claimed invention.

Furthermore, Examiner maintains that the combination of Sugiarto with the 413' reference and Mattingly would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine to teach Applicant's claimed "email notification" that includes "a selectable option."

- 7. Regarding item e), Examiner acknowledges Hunt does not show configuring an applet with a predesignated location or configuring an applet with an email address for receiving an email notification. As previously mentioned however, Examiner maintains applets were well known in the art at the time of the present invention. In particular, applets are mini programs that can be downloaded and used by a computer equipped with a Java-capable browser. Hunt teaches configuring applets on computing devices (110, 120) with address locations of servers (100), (col. 7, lines 13-42). Thus, Examiner maintains that the combination of what was well known in the art at the time of the present invention, the teachings of Hunt, the teachings of Mattingly, and the teachings of the 413' reference would have been obvious to combine to one of ordinary skill in the art to teach configuring an applet with a predesignated location or configuring an applet with an email address for receiving an email notification.
- 8. Regarding item f), Examiner acknowledges Sugiarto does not show an "email notification" that includes information such as "the current version of the firmware," " the location of the available firmware upgrade," "cost associated with the available firmware

Page 6

Art Unit: 2151

upgrade," and "billing data required to complete an electronic commerce transaction to purchase the available firmware upgrade." Sugiarto does teach however, information comprising: a cost associated with an available content download (page 2, paragraphs 26 and 27); and billing data required to complete an electronic commerce transaction to purchase the available content download (page 2, paragraphs 26 and 27). Thus, Examiner maintains that the combination of Sugiarto, the teachings of Hunt, the teachings of Mattingly, and the teachings of the 413' reference would have been obvious to combine to one of ordinary skill in the art to teach an "email notification" that includes information such as "the current version of the firmware," " the location of the available firmware upgrade," "cost associated with the available firmware upgrade," and "billing data required to complete an electronic commerce transaction to purchase the available firmware upgrade."

Page 7

9. Furthermore, features upon which applicant relies in Applicant's remarks, (see claim 1, page 15 of the remarks), "...wherein the email notification includes a selectable option operable by a user, and installing the firmware upgrade onto the computing device in response to selection of the selectable option", are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Art Unit: 2151

10. Still further, Examiner has interpreted the claim language as broadly as possible. It is also the Examiner's position that Applicant has not yet submitted claims drawn to limitations, which define the operation and apparatus of Applicant's disclosed invention in a manner that distinguishes over the prior art. Failure for Applicant to significantly narrow definition/scope of the claims implies the Applicant intends broad interpretation be given to the claims. The Examiner has interpreted the claims with scope parallel to the Applicant in the response and reiterated the need for Applicant to define the claimed invention more clearly and distinctly. Accordingly the references supplied by the examiner in the previous office action covers the claimed limitations. The rejections are thus sustained. Applicant is requested to review the prior art of record for further consideration.

Page 8

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 10-14, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mattingly et al. (hereinafter Mattingly), WO 00/17749, in view of Derwent Abstract Pub. No. RD 413041A, (hereinafter 413').

Art Unit: 2151

13. In considering claims 1 and 12, Mattingly teaches: a method of, and a computer-readable medium comprising computer executable instructions configured for, upgrading firmware on a computing device comprising: determining a current version of firmware installed on the computing device, (page 6, lines 5-9); searching a predesignated location (260) for a firmware upgrade, (page 6, lines 5-21); sending a message notification to one or more pre-designated addresses if the firmware upgrade is found, (page 6, lines 22-25); and installing the firmware upgrade onto the computing device, (page 6, line 25-page 7, line 8).

Although the disclosed teachings of Mattingly show substantial features of the claimed invention, they fail to disclose: the message notification being an email message.

Nevertheless 413' teaches: sending an email notification message to a user when an update is found, (see abstract and Fig. 3).

Thus given the teachings of 413', it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Mattingly to show sending an email notification to one or more pre-designated email addresses if the firmware upgrade is found. This would have advantageously notified users on a network when firmware upgrades were available for the computing device, (413' abstract, and Mattingly, page 2, lines 21-27).

14. In considering claim 2, Mattingly further discloses the searching further comprising: comparing the current version of firmware with the firmware upgrade to

determine if the firmware upgrade will provide a more recent version of firmware, (page 6, lines 9-21).

15. In considering claim 6, Mattingly further discloses the firmware upgrade occurring automatically when the firmware upgrade is found, (page 3, lines 1-7).

16. In considering claim 7, although the disclosed teachings of Mattingly show substantial features of the claimed invention, they fail to expressly disclose: the predesignated location being a plurality of pre-designated locations comprising a URL address accessible via the Internet, and a local server address accessible via a local network.

Nevertheless, pre-designated locations comprising URL addresses accessible via the Internet, and local server addresses accessible via local networks were well known in the art at the time of the present invention. 413' teaches: a pre-designated location (17) comprising an address accessible via a network, (see abstract, and Fig. 1).

Thus it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Mattingly to show the pre-designated location being a plurality of pre-designated locations comprising a URL address accessible via the Internet, and a local server address accessible via a local network. This would have advantageously provided an alternate means for obtaining the firmware upgrade from a variety of locations, (Mattingly, col. 4, lines 6-2, and 413', abstract).

Art Unit: 2151

17. In considering claim 10, Mattingly teaches the computing device being embodied as a device selected from a group of devices comprising: a printer, a scanner, a copier, a facsimile machine, a multifunction peripheral device, a modem, a cellular telephone, a personal computer, and a personal digital assistant (PDA), (page 4, lines 6-12).

Page 11

18. In considering claim 11, the teachings of Mattingly provide a means for the firmware being device driver software and the firmware upgrade being a device driver upgrade, (page 3, lines 1-7).

19. In considering claim 13, the teachings of Mattingly further provide a means for the computer executable instructions to be further configured to cause a computer to perform the method of claim 1 automatically on a periodic basis, (page 3, lines 1-7).

20. In considering claim 14, the combined teachings of Mattingly (page 3, lines 1-7), and 413' (abstract), provide a means for the computer executable instructions to be further configured to cause a computer to perform the method of claim 1 at the request of a user. One of ordinary skill in the art would combine the teachings of Mattingly with 413' for reasons indicated in considering claims1 and 12.

Art Unit: 2151

21. Claims 3, 4, 21, 24-26, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mattingly and 413', in view of Hunt et al. (hereinafter Hunt), U.S. Patent 6,539,422.

22. In considering claim 3, although the disclosed teachings of Mattingly and '413 show substantial features of the claimed invention, they fail to expressly disclose: the searching further comprising initiating a browser application embedded on the computing device.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor, Hunt teaches: initiating a browser application embedded on a computing device to search for information, (col. 3, lines 39-50).

Thus given the teachings of Hunt, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Mattingly to show the searching further comprising initiating a browser application embedded on the computing device. This would have advantageously provided an alternate means for obtaining the firmware upgrade, (Hunt, col. 4, lines 13-39).

23. In considering claim 4, the teachings of Hunt further provide a means for the browser application to be stored on and executed on a second computing device coupled to the computing device, (col. 4, lines 13-23). One of ordinary skill in the art would combine the teachings of Mattingly and 413' for the same reasons indicated in considering claim 3.

24. In considering claims 21 and 26, Mattingly teaches: a computing device and system for upgrading firmware comprising: firmware stored in nonvolatile memory on the computing device, (page 5, lines 15-19); determining a current version of firmware installed on the computing device, (page 6, lines 5-9); searching a pre-designated location (260) for a firmware upgrade, (page 6, lines 5-21); sending a message notification regarding an available firmware upgrade, (page 6, lines 22-25); and installing the firmware upgrade in the nonvolatile memory, (page 6, line 25-page 7, line 8).

Although the disclosed teachings of Mattingly show substantial features of the claimed invention, they fail to disclose: the message notification being an email message.

Nevertheless 413' teaches: sending an email notification message to a user when an update is found, (see abstract and Fig. 3).

Thus given the teachings of 413', it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Mattingly to show sending an email notification regarding an available firmware upgrade. This would have advantageously notified users on a network when firmware upgrades were available for the computing device, (413', abstract, and Mattingly, page 2, lines 21-27).

Although the combined teachings of Mattingly and 413' show substantial features of the claimed invention, they fail to expressly disclose: an applet configured to determine the current version of the firmware.

Nevertheless, applets configured for determining information were well known in the art at the time of the present invention. Hunt teaches: applets configured for determining device data, (col. 7, lines 13-42).

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Mattingly and 413' to show an upgrade applet configured to determine the current version of the firmware. This would have advantageously added flexibility to the computing device by allowing the computing device to determine the current version of the firmware regardless of device platform, (Hunt, col. 4, lines 24-39).

25. In considering claim 24, Mattingly teaches the computing device being embodied as a device selected from a group of devices comprising: a printer, a scanner, a copier, a facsimile machine, a multifunction peripheral device, a modem, a cellular telephone, a personal computer, and a personal digital assistant (PDA), (page 4, lines 6-12).

26. In considering claim 25, the teachings of Mattingly suggest the nonvolatile memory comprises electrically erasable programmable read-only memory (EEPROM), page 5, lines 5-19.

27. Claims 5, 9, 15-17, 20, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mattingly and 413', in view of Sugiarto et al. (hereinafter Sugiarto), U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2002/0143952.

Art Unit: 2151

28. In considering claim 5, the combined teachings of Mattingly and 413' further provide a means for: receiving a reply from a recipient of the email notification, (Mattingly, page 6, lines 29-31, and '413, abstract).

Page 15

Although the disclosed teachings of Mattingly and '413 show substantial features of the claimed invention, they fail to expressly disclose: the email notification comprising a user designated time, and at the user designated time, downloading and installing the firmware upgrade onto the computing device.

Nevertheless, Sugiarto teaches a download timer system and method comprising: a user designating a time to download information onto a computing device, (page 1, paragraph 5).

Thus given the teachings of Sugiarto, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Mattingly and 413' to show the email notification comprising a user designated time, and at the user designated time, downloading and installing the firmware upgrade onto the computing device. This would have advantageously given the user flexibility to decide when the automatic installation of the firmware upgrade should occur (Mattingly, page 3, lines 1-7, and Sugiarto, page 1, paragraphs 5 and 6), as well as given the user the option to select quicker service for a higher price, or delayed service for a discounted price, (Sugiarto, col. 1, paragraph 7).

29. In considering claim 9, the combined teachings of Mattingly and 413' further provide a means for the email notification to comprise: the current version of firmware (Mattingly, page 6, lines 5-9, and 413', abstract); the location of the firmware upgrade (Mattingly, page 6, lines 5-9, and 413', abstract).

Although the disclosed teachings of Mattingly and '413 show substantial features of the claimed invention, they fail to expressly disclose: a selectable option configured to automatically install the firmware upgrade onto the computing device, and information comprising: the cost associated with the firmware upgrade, and billing data required to complete an electronic commerce transaction to purchase the firmware upgrade.

Nevertheless, Sugiarto teaches: a selectable option configured to download content at various times, and information comprising: the cost associated with the content download, and billing data required to complete an electronic commerce transaction to purchase the content download, (page 2, paragraphs 26 and 27).

Thus given the teachings of Sugiarto, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Mattingly and 413' to show the email notification comprising a selectable option configured to automatically install the firmware upgrade onto the computing device, and information comprising the cost associated with the firmware upgrade and billing data required to complete an electronic commerce transaction to purchase the firmware upgrade. This would have advantageously given the user flexibility to decide when the automatic installation of the firmware upgrade should occur (Mattingly, page 3, lines 1-7, and Sugiarto, page 1,

paragraphs 5 and 6), as well as given the user the option to select quicker service for a higher price, or delayed service for a discounted price, (Sugiarto, col. 1, paragraph 7).

30. In considering claim 15, Mattingly teaches: a method of upgrading firmware on a computing device comprising: pre-designating a server location (260) to be searched for a firmware upgrade (page 6, lines 5-21); pre-designating an address to which a notification will be sent when the firmware upgrade is found (page 6, lines 22-25); receiving a notification at the address, (page 6, lines 22-25).

Although the disclosed teachings of Mattingly show substantial features of the claimed invention, they fail to disclose: the message notification being an email message.

Nevertheless 413' teaches: sending an email notification message to a user when an update is found, (see abstract and Fig. 3).

Thus, given the teachings of 413', it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Mattingly to show sending an email notification to one or more pre-designated email addresses if the firmware upgrade is found. This would have advantageously notified users on a network when firmware upgrades were available for the computing device, (413' abstract, and Mattingly, page 2, lines 21-27).

Although the combined teachings of Mattingly and '413 show substantial features of the claimed invention, they fail to expressly disclose: the email notification comprising

a selectable option configured to automatically install the firmware upgrade onto the computing device from a server location.

Nevertheless, Sugiarto teaches: a selectable option configured to download content at various times from a server location, (page 2, paragraphs 26 and 27).

Thus given the teachings of Sugiarto, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Mattingly and 413' to show the email notification comprising a selectable option configured to automatically install the firmware upgrade onto the computing device from the server location. This would have advantageously given the user flexibility to decide when the automatic installation of the firmware upgrade should occur, (Mattingly, page 3, lines 1-7, and Sugiarto, page 1, paragraphs 5 and 6).

- 31. In considering claim 16, Sugiarto further teaches in response to a selection of the selectable option, requesting a time at which the download will occur, (page 1, paragraph 5). One of ordinary skill in the art would modify the teachings of Mattingly and 413' with Sugiarto to show in response to a selection of the selectable option, requesting a time at which the firmware upgrade will be automatically installed, for the same reasons indicated in considering claim 15.
- 32. In considering claim 17, Sugiarto further teaches in response to a selection of the selectable option, requesting billing data required to complete an electronic commerce transaction to purchase content, (page 1, paragraph 5). One of ordinary skill

Application/Control Number: 09/941,212 Page 19

Art Unit: 2151

in the art would modify the teachings of Mattingly and 413' with Sugiarto to show in response to a selection of the selectable option, requesting billing data required to complete an electronic commerce transaction to purchase the firmware upgrade, to advantageously give the user the option to select quicker service for a higher price, or delayed service for a discounted price, (Sugiarto, col. 1, paragraph 7).

33. In considering claim 20, the teachings of Mattingly provide a means for the firmware being device driver software and the firmware upgrade being a device driver upgrade, (page 3, lines 1-7).

34. Claim 8, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mattingly and 413', in view of Nakao et al. (hereinafter Nakao), U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2001/0054152.

35. In considering claim 8, although the disclosed teachings of Mattingly and 413' show substantial features of the claimed invention, they fail to expressly disclose: the pre-designated email addresses comprising a plurality of pre-designated email addresses.

Nevertheless, pre-designated email addresses comprising a plurality of predesignated email addresses were well known in the art at the time of the present invention. Nakao teaches a process for sending image data read out by a scanner to a customer of a user in the form of an email wherein: the user selects a pre-designated

Art Unit: 2151

email address or a plurality of pre-designated email addresses to send the image data to, (page 9, paragraph 220).

Thus it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Mattingly and 413' to show the pre-designated email addresses comprising a plurality of pre-designated email addresses. This would have advantageously allowed for more than one user at a time to be notified of firmware upgrades, (Nakao, page 9, paragraph 220).

36. Claims 18, 19, 22, 23, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mattingly, 413', Sugiarto, and Hunt.

37. In considering claim 18, although the combined teachings of Mattingly, 413' and Sugiarto show substantial features of the claimed invention, they fail to expressly disclose: the pre-designating a server comprising configuring an applet on the computing device with an address location on the server.

Nevertheless, configuring applets on computing devices with address locations of servers was well known in the art at the time of the present invention. Hunt teaches: configuring applets on computing devices (110, 120) with address locations of servers (100), (col. 7, lines 13-42).

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Mattingly, 413' and Sugiarto to show the pre-designating a server comprising configuring an applet on the computing device with an address location on

the server. This would have advantageously allowed for the computing device to access the server over a network regardless of server/device platform, (Hunt, col. 4, lines 24-39).

38. In considering claim 19, although the combined teachings of Mattingly, 413' and Sugiarto show substantial features of the claimed invention, they fail to expressly disclose: configuring an applet for receiving a notification.

Nevertheless, configuring applets for receiving notifications was well known in the art at the time of the present invention. Hunt teaches: configuring applets on computing devices (110, 120) for receiving notifications, (col. 7, lines 13-42).

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Mattingly, 413' and Sugiarto to show the pre-designating an email address to comprise configuring an applet on the computing device with an email address for receiving an email notification. This would have advantageously allowed for the computing device to receive the email notifications over a network regardless of server/device platform, (Hunt, col. 4, lines 24-39).

39. In considering claim 22, the combined teachings of Mattingly and 413' further provide a means for the email notification to comprise: the current version of firmware (Mattingly, page 6, lines 5-9, and 413' abstract); the location of the firmware upgrade (Mattingly, page 6, lines 5-9, and 413' abstract).

Art Unit: 2151

Although the disclosed teachings of Mattingly and '413 show substantial features of the claimed invention, they fail to expressly disclose: a selectable option configured to have the available firmware upgrade installed at a user-designated time.

Nevertheless, Sugiarto teaches: a selectable option configured to download content at a user-designated time, (page 2, paragraphs 26 and 27).

Thus given the teachings of Sugiarto, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Mattingly and 413' to show the email notification comprising a selectable option configured to have the available firmware upgrade installed at a user-designated time. This would have advantageously given the user flexibility to decide when the installation of the firmware upgrade should occur (Sugiarto, page 1, paragraphs 5 and 6).

40. In considering claim 23, Sugiarto further teaches: information comprising: a cost associated with an available content download (page 2, paragraphs 26 and 27); and billing data required to complete an electronic commerce transaction to purchase the available content download (page 2, paragraphs 26 and 27). One of ordinary skill in the art would modify the teachings of Mattingly and 413' with Sugiarto to show the email notification further comprising: information comprising: a cost associated with an available firmware upgrade; and billing data required to complete an electronic commerce transaction to purchase the available firmware upgrade, to advantageously give the user the option to select quicker service for a higher price, or delayed service for a discounted price, (Sugiarto, col. 1, paragraph 7).

Application/Control Number: 09/941,212 Page 23

Art Unit: 2151

Furthermore, the combined teachings of Mattingly, 413', Sugiarto and Hunt provide a means for the selectable option to comprise: a second applet configured to browse to the pre-designated location and install the available firmware upgrade upon completion of the electronic commerce transaction, (Mattingly, page 6, lines 5-21, 413' abstract, Sugiarto, page 2, paragraphs 26 and 27, and Hunt, col. 7, lines 13-42). One of ordinary skill in the art would modify the teachings of Mattingly with 413', Sugiarto, and Hunt for the same reasons previously indicated in considering claims 21 and 22.

Conclusion

41. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2151

42. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

Page 24

the examiner should be directed to Hassan Phillips whose telephone number is (571)

272-3940. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on (571) 272-3939. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HP/ 3/17/06